

Malaprabha Project has been ready during the last 3-4 years, but the Upper Krishna Project is just ready. The estimates and the details have been discussed between our representatives and the C.W.P.C.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—May I know whether the control and other things rest with the C.W.P.C. and unless they accord sanction, the State Government cannot undertake the work?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I wish my friend does not ask for a definite reply from me in this matter.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Have they suggested any changes in the designs proposed by the Engineers of Mysore; if so, does it affect the availability of water in the Krishna river?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It does not affect the Krishna river volume. Possibly, they may suggest some designs, So far I am not aware of any designs keeping in view the volume of the work.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Have they suggested any changes or use of materials in the designs as a result of which the construction cost would go up?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I am not in possession of that information. My friend may give notice.

DISCUSSION BETWEEN THE CHIEF MINISTERS OF MYSORE AND MADRAS RELATING TO HOGENEKAL PROJECT.

*Q.—26. SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI (Afzalpur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether any informal discussion has taken place between the Chief Ministers of Mysore and Madras States relating to the Hogenekal Project;

(b) if the answer to (a) is in the affirmative, what were the points discussed and agreed upon and the points of disagreement which need further probe?

A.—SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) Yes.

(b) The Chief Ministers have agreed to look into the Schemes as might be prepared by the Departments of the two Governments and see what could be agreed to in the best interest of both the States.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—May I know whether the discussions which were held at the officers' level were a failure, as a result of which the Chief Ministers of the two States had to meet and decide on the unsolved question?

†SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I cannot say they were a failure. According to our officers, there was a slight stiffness on the part of the Madras officers and they were not fully prepared to consider all aspects of the matter especially with regard to availability of water and the records and other

things connected. So it did not break but after all, it did not continue ; but when we met and informally discussed, we felt that whatever the respective opinions expressed by experts, we might discuss the general aspects of this Cauvery power project.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.— May I know whether the Mysore Engineers have suggested any modification in the estimates prepared by the Madras Government ?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—The estimates ought to be different because according to the Mysore Engineers after having satisfied the irrigation necessities of Cauvery River in Mysore they have prepared a scheme which would produce mostly in Mysore area and a little bit in the common area 12 lakhs Kilowatts of power, whereas the Madras Engineers have prepared a scheme which contemplates production of about 8 lakhs K.W.S. of power using the entire water of Cauvery at Hogenkal.

SRI S. M. KRISHNA.—If the Madras Scheme were to be accepted and acted upon there would be quite a greater extent of submersion in Mysore area.

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Not much. In fact, it is our scheme which means more submer s.o. because the present Sivasamudram and Shimsha Project may be submerged because we get a larger height for the power project.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Did the Chief Minister of Mysore that is yourself, raise any question about the construction of Pochampad and Amaravathi in contravention of the 1925 Agreement by the Madras Government ?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I do not think it must be raised, but what we decided was about the Cauvery Power Project mostly and to see that our necessities of irrigation were not adversely affected.

SRI S. M. KRISHNA.—If the Mysore scheme were to be acted upon, do we have to share power with the Madras State ?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Our Mysore scheme has not been finalised. I am asking to do it quickly. Our designs and estimates are there. It will be a big project costing more than Rs. 80 crores. It may be necessary and it would be good also to see in what way we can do it jointly.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.— Is it not desirable to raise the question of the utilisation of Cauvery waters in contravention of the 1924 Agreement by the Madras Government, while holding discussion of this Scheme ?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Our dry areas must have first priority. Our Cauvery waters under the 1924 Agreement should be utilised for irrigation and after utilising the waters for irrigation, we will be able to produce, according to our Engineers, by the Cauvery waters 12 lakhs K.Ws. of power.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—May I know whether the Government of Mysore has instructed their Engineers to prepare a separate scheme so that the Madras scheme may not affect our waters ?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—When we ask experts, we do not put conditions. We ask how best the waters can be utilised, giving them our requirements. We tell them how much water is available for irrigation and how much power can be utilised.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—May I know whether the Government of Mysore depends upon the survey and estimates made by the Government of Madras or our Government is going to have a separate estimate prepared so that all the available water may be utilised?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—We are having a separate estimate prepared.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗ ತಾವು ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಿಸಿದರೆ ಹೊಗಳಿಕೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಏದರಾಗಿ ಮೈಸೂರುಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್ ತಯಾರುಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಯಾವುದೂ ವಿಷಯ ಮಾತನಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ತಾವು ಮದರಾಸು ಸರ್ಕಾರದೊಡನೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ; ಅವರಿಗೆ ಏನು ದರೂ ಶರತ್ತು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಇದು ಈಚೆಗೆ ೨-೩ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರುವುದು. ಅವರು ನುಮಾರು ಎರಡು ವರ್ಷದ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್ ತಯಾರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು, ಬರುವ ನೀರನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಅದು ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನಮ್ಮ ನೀರು ಎಷ್ಟು ಇದೆಯೋ ಅದನ್ನು ನೀರಾವರಿಗೆ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಉಳಿದ ನೀರನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ವಿನಿಯೋಗಿಸಿದರೆ 1೨ ಲಕ್ಷ ಕಿಲೋ ವಾಟ್ಸ್ ವಿದ್ಯುಚ್ಛಕ್ತಿ ಉತ್ಪಾದನಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ನಾವು ಹೇಳಿದವು ಆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಒಂದು ಸ್ಥಳ ಸ್ಥೂಲವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೆವು. ಈ ಎರಡಕ್ಕೂ ವಿರೋಧ ಕಂಡು ಬಂದದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಹಾಕಿ ಇಬ್ಬರೂ ಸೇರಿ ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗತಕ್ಕ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿದೆವು. ಮೈಸೂರಿಗೆ ನೀರಾವರಿಯಾಗಿ ಉಳಿಯತಕ್ಕ ನೀರು ಇಷ್ಟು ಅಂತ ನಮ್ಮ ಎಸ್.ಎಮ್.ಇ. ಇದೆ. ಅದು ತಯಾರಾದ ನಂತರ ನಾವು ಅವರೂ ಸೇರಿ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ.—ಹೊಗಳಿಕೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಹೆಲಿಕಾಪ್ಟರ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಏರಿ ಹೋಗಿ ನರೇವಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ನೀವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಕಂಡು ಬಂದರೆ ಅದೂ ಮಾಡೋಣ. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಹೋಗುವುದು ಬಹಳ ಕಷ್ಟ.

SRI S. KOLKEBAIL.—Will the Government suggest to the Centre to link Godavari, Krishna and Cauvery to resolve the water difficulty?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is a very nice suggestion. I may say that round about the last years of the last century, the scheme was there to connect Ganga, Jamuna, Godavari and Cauvery along with Krishna. I do not think that scheme will fructify now. It is no doubt very appealing.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ೨5 ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಮೇಕೆ ದಾಟಿಸಿಲ್ಲ ವಿದ್ಯುಚ್ಛಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ತಯಾರುಮಾಡಲು ಎಸ್.ಎಮ್.ಇ. ಅಂದಾಜು ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಯೋಜನೆಗಳೆಲ್ಲ ಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಆಗಿತ್ತು ಆದರೆ ಅಂದು ಒಂದು ಸಣ್ಣ ಯೋಜನೆಯಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅದರಿಂದ ಕೇವಲ ೨ ಕಿಲೋ ವಾಟ್ಸ್ ಪವರ್ ಉತ್ಪತ್ತಿಯಾಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಆದರೆ ಈಗ ದೊಡ್ಡ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ನಾವು ತಯಾರಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—While carrying on further discussions, may I know whether it would be the policy of the Mysore Government to agree to the Hogenkal project only if the interests of our State is not affected.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—That has been kept all along.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗ ತಾನೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಅವರೂ ನಾವು ಕುಳಿತುಕೊಂಡು ಜಾಯಿಂಟ್ ಎಫರ್ಟ್‌ನಿಂದ ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ತೀರ್ಮಾನಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅಂದರೆ ಆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವನ್ನು ಈಕ್ವಲ್ ಬೇಸಿಸ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರೋ ಹೇಗೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೂಡ ಹುಟ್ಟುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆಯೇ ಕುರಾವಿ ಹೊಲಿಸಿ ದಂತಿದೆ; ಇಬ್ಬರಿಗೂ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಹಾಗೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಈ ಕೆಲಸ ಒಂದು ದಿನದಲ್ಲೇ ಆಗತಕ್ಕದ್ದಲ್ಲ.

STATEMENT MADE BY THE UNION MINISTER FOR AGRICULTURE
IN VIJAYAWADA ABOUT NAGARJUNASAGAR PROJECT.

*Q.—29. SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI (Afzalpur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they are aware that the Union Minister for Agriculture made a statement in Vijayawada on 12th January 1963 that the Nagarjuna-sagar Project would be completed in all the states according to plan to increase the agricultural production programme;

(b) if the answer to (a) is in the affirmative, what steps do Government propose to press the legitimate claims of Mysore over Krishna-Godavari waters before any steps are taken by the Central Government?

A.—SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) The Press Reports dated 12th January 1963 do indicate that that Sri Ram Subhag Singh, Union Minister for Agriculture, has made such a statement at Vijayawada.

(b) The matter has been taken up with the Government of India. All steps will be taken to see that Mysore's rights are safeguarded.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Is it a fact that this statement made by a Central Minister

MR. SPEAKER.—The hon. Member should not use the word in regard to a Central Minister on the floor of this House.

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—May I submit that word may be expunged?

MR. SPEAKER.—Yes. The hon. Member may call it by any proper name but not call it.....

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—It is a wrong statement made by the Central Minister.....

MR. SPEAKER.—All that I say is that the hon. Member may use the strongest word or even more than that. But to say.....* in respect of a person who is not here to defend himself, is something which I cannot allow.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—It is a very wrong and irrational statement made by a Central Minister when the entire 1951 agreement is controversial and subject to discussion between this and other Governments.

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I cannot say he was irrational or even wrong. My reading of the situation is that having visited the area and possibly after having gone through certain facts submitted to him, he made a statement. That statement was not only made by the Agricultural Minister but also by the Home Minister. I have written to them that they have not been fully informed and they said that on the facts placed before them they made that statement but if other facts are brought to them they are not going to stick on to their earlier point.

* Expunged as ordered by the chair